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ABSTRACT The reductionist approach has traditionally been and continues today as the dominant approach in nutrition research. This means that parts of diet rather than the whole, or single food components rather than food habits, are studied. Even though much progress has been made with this approach, the relationship between diet and health is not yet fully understood. With the recognition about the whole being more than the sum of its parts, the limitations on the applicability of the reductionist approach, and the growing knowledge about parts of diet, another epistemological approach, such as holism, and new research strategies, such as transdisciplinarity, are needed to reveal more about the relationship between diet and health. Am J Clin Nutr 2003;78(suppl):514S–6S.
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INTRODUCTION

The main goal of nutrition research is to identify optimal diets to promote health and prevent diseases. For this reason, during the past several decades, extensive research has been conducted concerning the relationship between diet and health. With advances in methodology and deeper insight, nutrition research looks more and more at details and, therefore, at more differentiated parts of diet as well as health.

The question arises whether the parts add up to the whole. In other words, does summing up detailed knowledge about individual constituents of diet reflect the overall effect of diet? Research on vegetarian diets may teach us a lesson about this: investigating the effect of single nutrients, especially those prone to deficiency (such as vitamin B-12), led to a different perspective of vegetarian diets than investigating the effect of diet as a whole. Thus, findings of lower vitamin B-12 blood concentrations in vegetarians than in omnivores (1) led to a more negative point of view than the more recent results documenting a lower mortality rate from ischemic heart disease as an effect of a vegetarian diet as well as health.

The traditional and dominating epistemological approach in nutrition research is reductionism. (Epistemology is a branch of philosophy that studies the nature, origin, and limits of human knowledge. It addresses questions such as what knowledge is, how it is obtained, and what makes it knowledge.) This is also the case for the relationship between diet and health. From the reductionist point of view, the objective of science is to reconstruct reality by its parts. The reductionist stance advocates an additive character of linear cause-effect constructs, meaning that the whole can be explained by the sum of its parts (3, 4).

In this context, diet as a whole is referred to as the food selection or food pattern of a person or population. Diet is generally reduced to food groups, food items, and food constituents (Figure 1). Health as a whole in this context is viewed as physical health. This may be reduced to multiple systems, their components, and biological markers.

In the past century, nutrition research has focused on individual dietary constituents and their relationship to specific biological markers (Figure 1). This means that nutrition research has been carried out with highest differentiation or reduction to the smallest event, while the final purpose of this research was to contribute to the knowledge on optimal diet, which is on the level of high integration or the whole. Not surprisingly, the past and ongoing research illustrates that the whole may not be obtained by solely investigating its parts and adding up this knowledge, but rather that the whole is more than the sum of the parts.

There are several reasons why dietary and health issues go beyond the reach of a reductionist epistemological foundation. One reason is that diet and health feature complex system characteristics. These include being composed of a large number of components, not being completely reducibly to its parts, and exhibiting nonlinear interactions between components, response delays, and feedback loops (5). The complexity of diet may be exemplified by its composition. Diet consists of a mixture of foods, and those foods are composed of a multitude of chemicals. As a result of this, there are combinational effects, such as interactions, antagonisms, and synergisms, that explain a proportion of the whole not being encompassed by examining the parts (6).

Out of the array of dietary components and the factors relevant for the relationship between diet and health, only a limited number are usually included in research models. Others not included in the models may also contribute to the relationship between diet and health. These additional components and factors may be known but not evaluated, or it may not be possible to evaluate them. Also, there may still be unknown components in the diet or unknown factors in the studied relationship. Thus, the understanding of the relationship between diet and health may partly be limited because
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Holism is an epistemological approach dealing with complex systems. The American Society for Nutritional Sciences takes one step in this direction by encouraging scientists in the field of nutrition to integrate the knowledge from molecular events to metabolism and further to behavior. Fifth, because nutrition combines several sciences, in this field multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary research strategies have frequently been applied. Multidisciplinarity is restricted to one or a variety of disciplines operating without the integration of concepts, while interdisciplinarity enables a collaboration of several disciplines exchanging concepts, methodology, and so on. Integrating the sciences of nutrition with holistic thinking makes it possible to proceed to a transdisciplinary concept. For transdisciplinarity it is characteristic to transgress the boundaries between and beyond disciplines and institutions, such as between basic and applied research.

With advances in epistemological approaches, in nutritional knowledge, in methodology, in computational tools, and in research strategies, we are now able to go beyond the research on parts to learn more about optimal nutrition. Therefore, let us transcend the condition summarized by Werner Kollath (14, page 11), “Much is known—unfortunately in different heads,” and conclude: Many parts are known, let us now grasp for the whole.

I sincerely thank Hal Marlow, Sujatha Rajaram, and Joan Sabaté at the School of Public Health, Loma Linda University, for their constructive reviews of an earlier draft of this article. The author had no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES


